Peer Review Plan

Preliminary Title: Type of Report	Measure for Self-employed Households						
(ERR, EIB, EB)							
A	Engania December Comice	[X]	Influential S				
Agency:	Economic Research Service USDA	[]	Highly Influ	ientiai Sci	entific Ass	essment	
Agency Contact:	Elise Golan, egolan@ers.usda.gov						
Subject of Review:	Standard measures of household well-being are constructed from household income. The typical farm operator household's income has exceeded the median income of all U.S. households in every year since 1998, sometimes by as much as 21 percent. Nonetheless, farm household income remains more variable from year to year, and far more farm households report negative income in any given year Given these characteristics, the current consumption of goods and services by farm households may provide a more accurate snapshot of current material well-being, as well as a better approximation of lifetime well-being, than does current household income. In the face of temporary increases or decreases in income, households tend to smooth consumption over time in order to maintain their standard of living. Using ARMS data, this report estimates a measure of household consumption to complement the other financial measures of well-beinghousehold income and household wealth. It then compares farm household consumption to and per-person equivalent measures with those of U.S. households in general.						
Purpose of Review:	The purpose of the review is to ensure the high-quality of the economic analysis, transparent explanation of methods, objective interpretation of results, and effective communication to the intended audience.						
Type of Review:	[] Panel	l Review	,	[X]	Individua	al Reviewers	
	[] Alter	native P	rocess (Briefly	y Explain)	:		
Timing of Review (Es	t.): Start: 09/03/08	B End:	XX/XX/>	XX Co	mpleted:	XX/XX/XX	
Number of Reviewers	: [] 3 or fewer	[X]	4 to 10	[]	More tha	n 10	
Primary Disciplines/T	ypes of Expertise Needed for I	Review:	Economists	S			
Reviewers selected by	: [X] Agency	Orga	[] nization's Nar	Organiz	ated Outsic zation	le	
Opportunities for Publ	lic Comment?	[]	Yes	[X]	No		
	ate how and when these opport						
Peer Reviewers Provided with Public Comments?			Yes	[X]	No		
Public Nominations Requested for Review Panel?			Yes	[X]	No		

